

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT APPLICATION

Application No.:	10/815,430	Confirmation No.	7637
Applicant:	Plow et al.	Filed:	March 31, 2004
Art Unit:	2179	Examiner:	Thuy Thanh Osberg
Docket No.:	SVL920030143US1	Customer No.:	55070
Title:	Dynamic Materialization of Disappearing Entities		

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVIEW SUMMARY

S I R:

Applicants' representative, Janet M. Skafar, thanks Examiner Osberg for the telephonic Examiner's Interview on August 10, 2007.

The rejection of Claim 14 under 35 USC § 101 was clarified.


The rejection of Claims 1 and 6 under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by the Martinez et al. patent (U.S. Patent No. 6147683, referred to as Martinez) was discussed. No agreement was reached.

As to Claim 1, Applicants' representative generally argued that Martinez does not teach the materialization entity of the claimed invention. As to Claim 6,

Applicants' representative generally argued that Martinez does not teach that when at least a portion of the materialization entity is scrolled out of view, displaying the materialization area.

Respectfully submitted,

September 21, 2007



Janet M. Skafar, Attorney
Reg. No. 41,315
Correspondence Customer No. 55070
Telephone: (650)988-0655
Facsimile: (408) 463-4827